

The Big Stone Gap Post.

OL. XXIX

BIG STONE GAP, WISE COUNTY, VA., WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 24, 1921

No. 34

Ironton to Knoxville Highway

For more than a year good road boosters from Rogersville, Hancock county, Tennessee, and Lee and Wise counties, Virginia, have been working to get into existence a trunk line highway from Knoxville, Virginia, points to Knoxville, and the line they mapped would put Big Stone Gap and Appalachia closer to Knoxville by this highway if built by railway.

Long ago good roads workers in Lee county, Kentucky, which are located the main coal operations of the Canadian Coal Company and large coal companies came to Virginia, asking that coal from Pound, Virginia, be carried to connect with a line from Jenkins, Ky., only a mile from this link on either side of the state line being an end.

This ungraded link leading to the state line has been surveyed, we understand, the improvement of roads on either side of the line are practically certain to be made in a hand way. It seems that such link from dense valleys in Virginia, Tennessee, and Kentucky to be improved.

Hancock county, Tenn., crossed at third signs, makes its small link with no federal aid, and Hancock county has already spent \$100,000 grading and regrading road from the Hocknicksburg line to Rogersville. Carrying going over this road, the ungraded stretch ends, however, at the Lee-Virginia line makes somewhat rough. Of course, it is to have eventually a road from Ohio to Knoxville and Asheville.

Going back a little it seems the highway was originally planned as a Virginia-Tennessee road from the Southwest coast with to Rogersville, from which it was suggested a connection be made with Jenkins, Ky. It occurred to the boosters to extend it to the Lee river where it would join the great good roads of Ohio and thus automatically extend itself to Columbus, Cleveland, Toledo, Detroit, and Cincinnati. The line could probably run from Jenkins to Pikeville, Prestonsburg, Letcher, and Louisa.

A conference held July 27, the promoters of the highway for Big Stone Gap, Virginia, at the time were present, Thomas J. Rice, chairman of the highway; M. V. Rogers, of Rogersville; W. Chaldey and R. E. Scott, of Big Stone Gap; James Allen and F. B. Kline, of Soddy and the publisher of the Appalachian Trade Journal. It was decided to add a branch at Ashland going to Huntington, in order to get representatives from Indiana north to and including Catlettsburg, Ashland, Ironton and Huntington interested which conference may be held soon.

It is planned at this conference to organize permanent committees and name the highway, or to arrange for another general meeting for that purpose. In the meantime, everybody interested in the project should boost and post.—Appalachian Trade Journal.

velopment already but just in its infancy.

This highway would have a strong appeal to the tourist, for it was in Big Stone Gap that John Fox, Jr., made his famous characters, known and loved throughout the nation, and the highway would traverse the heart of the region in which the scenes of his books are laid.

Literally thousands of tourists in the north and west are waiting to drive south over comfortable roads, possibly in the fall to Florida, East Tennessee, Asheville and, of course, will return in the spring while thousands of tourists in the south wait to go north in the summer so that when open this highway will be traversed by thousands of tourists all season of the year, as well as offering good roads for people and communities along the line which in itself will be of inestimable value and well worth the effort necessary to get it up.

In addition to being of great convenience and value for passing communities long train should allow trade to do well to develop, this section being a present bottleneck, especially Southwestern Virginia and Southeastern Kentucky counties.

that they cannot get north unless they go west to northern West Virginia. At the same time they have rough riding getting out to the north.

This highway "gap" has not yet been named, but is getting old enough to have one. Mr. Rogers thinks it should be called the "Dixie Trail," thus uniting the nationwide favor and prestige of the the novel of John Fox, Jr., but the publisher of the journal says that the best name the area should have is "The Dixie Trail."

One of the great values to a road being on a main highway is that of shares in the advertising given to road through the Blue Ridge, the Smoky Mountains, and Tennessee, auto magazines and newspaper publicity. Usually a tourist coming south wants to cover a limited highway so while he may know nothing of little about other places on it, he is just as sure to learn considerably both such places while studying the route and going over it.

Another conference is planned to be held again in the fall, rice, we suggest Huntington, in order to get representatives from Indiana north to and including Catlettsburg, Ashland, Ironton and Huntington interested which conference may be held soon.

It is planned at this conference to organize permanent committees and name the highway, or to arrange for another general meeting for that purpose. In the meantime, everybody interested in the project should boost and post.—Appalachian Trade Journal.

Tate Convicted

Sentenced to Three Years in the Penitentiary for Manslaughter.

Wise, Va., Aug. 18.—S. B. Tate, of East Stone Gap, who was convicted of voluntary manslaughter and sentenced to three years in the state penitentiary. He was tried upon the charge of having assisted in the lynching of the negro, J. H. Hurst, who confessed to raping an aged white woman near Kent Kent Junction some months ago. The verdict places all the members of this mob in jeopardy, as they were said to have been unmasked and easily recognized. Other prosecutions will doubtless follow as it was a rather large mob that lynched the negro.

The highway is thus strategically located at just about the right distance between Cincinnati and Washington to afford a convenient north and south tourist and traffic trunk line and the Big Sandy has carved a natural route for a great part of the highway, while convenient gaps allow it to go through the Appalachians into Virginia, Tennessee and North Carolina.

This highway traverses a wonderful country, rich in natural resources, with an immense de-

Engineer McCormick Killed

And Fireman Showalters Injured in Big Wreck on Southern Railroad.

Engineer T. P. McCormick, of Appalachia, was instantly killed and his fireman, Charles Showalters, of Kingsport, was painfully injured in one of the most disastrous freight wrecks that have occurred on the Southern Railway for several years, when they lost control of their train which consisted of twenty steel hoppers loaded with coal attached to a 37 ton mallet engine left the track at Exeter crossing about one mile above Imboden Sunday morning at 11 o'clock. All but five of the cars jumped the track with the engine piling on top of each other in a twisted mass of ruins. Engineer McCormick's body was found pinned underneath the engine and it took workmen about seven hours to remove it. Whitehead body was not mangled, indications were that it was instant. It was mashed internally and also seared by steam.

The wreck occurred on what is probably the sharpest decline of any grade on this division. Shortly after leaving the top of the mountain the crew lost control of their train, the air brakes failing to work and the train gathered more speed as it descended this grade. Fireman Showalters left the engine to help set the hand brakes and was four feet from the engine when the wreck occurred. He was found pinned tightly between two cars and it was with difficulty that he was extricated. He suffered several broken bones about his body and legs but it is thought his injuries will not result fatal.

Friends now say that McCormick was one of the most reliable and popular engineers on the Southern Railway and the fact that he remained at his post doing everything possible to check the speed of his train was what they expected he would do. McCormick was a married man and lived with his family in Appalachia, being survived by a wife and four small children. He had been employed by the Southern for more than twenty years and had been handling an engine for fifteen years.

Fireman Showalters was taken to the hospital at Stoney Fork for treatment. He is a brother of our townsmen, G. C. Showalters.

Program

Wise County Medical Society, Hotel Norton Assembly Hall, Sixth Floor, Wednesday, August 24, 1921.

Meeting called at 2:30 p.m. Sharp.

Dr. D. M. Moore—Stomach Report of an Infusing Case—Discussion Papers.

Dr. J. D. Taylor—Treatment of Epilepsy.

Dr. J. D. Wilson—Remarks—Some Points of Ovary Disease—With Report of Illustrations.

Dr. W. H. St. Clair—Gastric Chole—Caecum Increasing Size in Operation of Choice in Dealing with Gastric Diseases.

Dr. Brumfield—Public Health Work.

Epworth League.

On last Sunday evening, with Mr. King as leader, a very enjoyable service was held by the Epworth League. The subject for the evening was the first four commandments of the Bible. Misses Minnie Bestwick, Alice Bruce, Eliza Shugart and Juliet Knight gave talks on these. A duet was sung by Mesdames W. H. Wren and L. C. Taylor.

Mr. Jerome, a representative of the Epworth League of Holston Conference, from Knoxville, made a very interesting address to the league on "Why I am a Leaguer." There were thirty-five leaguers and thirty-seven visitors present.

Federal Aid

For Road Construction in Virginia An Uncertain Proposition.

Richmond, Va., Aug. 20.—No Federal Aid for 1922 for state highway construction in Virginia or any other state is the serious prospect which is facing road builders in this state, according to officials of the Virginia Good Roads Association who have been keeping in close touch with road legislation now before Congress. How serious this would be to Virginia, may be gathered from the fact that the legislators expected aid from the federal government amounting to \$2,000,000, which is the amount Virginia received this year, represent two-fifths of the total amount available for new construction of state highways in the state. The amount of the unexpended balance of the sum for the most part of highways in the state, the present source of revenue, the state funds for new construction of the present financial basis for 1922 will amount to only \$4,200,000 including the valuation of saved labor. In the case of a big reduction in the price of labor and material for road construction, Virginia will have to give up about one-half of the funds available for this year and less than one-third of the amount which West Virginia, Maryland and North Carolina have already made available for road construction for 1922, makes a suggestion he is permitted to continue federal aid and the General Assembly can materially increase the amount of road funds available for new construction of state highways.

That the fears of the road advocates as to the future of Virginia's highway program, referred to as soul ground, requiring the active work through their Congressman and Senators on the part of every person interested in the cause is evident by the apparently inconveniences differences between the Senate and the House as to the form of aid administration of federal aid. The Senate is insisting on the Townend bill which provides for a commission of three while the House is equally insistent on the Dowell bill which provides in the main for the continuation of the other of public roads of the U. S. Department of Agriculture. The Townsend bill is also insistent on the expenditure of federal aid on highways of interstate importance. The differences between the two Houses of Congress is being maintained as far as possible by three groups in both Houses. One of these groups is composed of Senator and Representatives from the thickly populated states such as New York and Pennsylvania, who are opposed to federal aid of any kind on the grounds that their constituents pay the larger proportion of the taxes used to build highways in the south and other sections. Another group which takes in the majority of the supporters of the Townend bill asserts that federal aid in the past has been wasted as far as the federal government is concerned, in being expended on short stretches of state highways which begin nowhere and end nowhere. Unless a bill can be passed which will insure the expenditure of federal funds on highways on interstate importance they are op-

posed to federal aid of any kind. Still another group taking the figures of the secretary of agriculture only as to the amount of federal aid which has not been actually checked out, assert that there is a big sum of federal aid previously granted which has not been expended, and that the state can be given a year or two to take up the funds. This group has in mind that failure on the part of the Congress to appropriate \$2,000,000 annually for federal aid will help to keep down the total amount of federal appropriations.

That failure on the part of Congress to continue federal aid which expired on June 30 of this year would be little short of a calamity, is recognized by moderate politicians generally. Official returns from the primary election here showed that those three districts gave Trinkle a majority of 22,691. His majority for the state was 4,691, a difference of 18,000 showing that even with these districts eliminated he would have come through with a margin of 1,600 votes to the good.

Trinkle carried fourteen cities of the state including Bristol, Staunton, Virginia, Charlottesville, Danville, Fredericksburg, Hopewell, Lynchburg, Newport News, Petersburg, Roanoke, Richmond, Roanoke, Suffolk, and Williamsburg. Harry St. George Tucker, of Lexington, his defeated opponent, carried the remaining eight cities.

In the 100 counties Trinkle carried 44, Trinkle carried the First, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Ninth districts. Tucker carried the Second, Eighth and Tenth. Total for Trinkle and Tucker's parties in the fifth, Sixth and Ninth districts were 46,311, 17,733, 1,681, 3,441, 14,669, 1,987.

In the 100 counties Trinkle carried 44, Trinkle carried the First, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Ninth districts. Tucker carried the Second, Eighth and Tenth. Total for Trinkle and Tucker's parties in the fifth, Sixth and Ninth districts were 46,311, 17,733, 1,681, 3,441, 14,669, 1,987.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

Trinkle's

Majority in Primary Was a Total of 22,691.

E. Lee Trinkle's majority in the recent state primary election was 22,691, according to figures given out by the state canvassing board in Richmond. These figures show that he would have won even had he not carried the First, Sixth and Ninth districts.

A dispatch from Richmond which gives the totals on the race for Lieutenant Governor as well as on the Trinkle-Tucker race follows:

E. Lee Trinkle, of Wytheville, would have been nominated for Governor of Virginia in the recent state Democratic primary even if he had not carried the First, Sixth and Ninth districts, regarded as his principal strong holds. Official returns from the primary, as given here, showed that those three districts gave Trinkle a majority of 22,691. His majority for the state was 4,691, a difference of 18,000 showing that even with these districts eliminated he would have come through with a margin of 1,600 votes to the good.

Trinkle carried fourteen cities of the state including Bristol, Staunton, Virginia, Charlottesville, Danville, Fredericksburg, Hopewell, Lynchburg, Newport News, Petersburg, Roanoke, Richmond, Roanoke, Suffolk, and Williamsburg. Harry St. George Tucker, of Lexington, his defeated opponent, carried the remaining eight cities.

In the 100 counties Trinkle carried 44, Trinkle carried the First, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Ninth districts. Tucker carried the Second, Eighth and Tenth. Total for Trinkle and Tucker's parties in the fifth, Sixth and Ninth districts were 46,311, 17,733, 1,681, 3,441, 14,669, 1,987.

In the 100 counties Trinkle carried 44, Trinkle carried the First, Third, Fourth, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Ninth districts. Tucker carried the Second, Eighth and Tenth. Total for Trinkle and Tucker's parties in the fifth, Sixth and Ninth districts were 46,311, 17,733, 1,681, 3,441, 14,669, 1,987.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.

We're to vote throughout the state on Aug. 27, 1921, compared with 1920. Votes, in the 100 counties, were respectively 1,689, 2,304, 2,102, 3,600, 5,663, 2,170, 1,681, 3,441.